## Case 3:15-cr-00582-WHO Document 26 Filed 12/22/15 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR 15-582-WHU
Plaintiff,  v.  ADAM SHAF,	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Defendant. )	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on $12/22$ , 2015, the Court excludes time under the speedy Trial Act from $12/22$ , 2015 to $12+$ , 2016 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § $161(h)(7)(A)$ . The Court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):	
Failure to grant a continuance would be like See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the prosecutor law, that it is unreasonable to expect ade	to [check applicable reasons] the number of ation, or the existence of novel questions of fact quate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial his section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would deny t taking into account the exercise of due dilig	the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
	sonably deny the defendant the reasonable time nto account the exercise of due diligence L E D
	DEC 222015
IT IS SO ORDERED.	SUSAN Y, SOONG
DATED: 12-22-18	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	SALLIE KIM
	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: 17. OF THE	Cheire
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney